



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,833	08/14/2001	Fumio Matsui	MATSUI 5	8102

7590 08/22/2005

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.

624 Ninth Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20001-5303

EXAMINER

ANGEBRANNDT, MARTIN J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1756

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/928,833

Applicant(s)

MATSUI ET AL.

Examiner

Martin J. Angebrannt

Art Unit

1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/14/05 & 6/20/05.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 1756

1. The response of the applicant has been read and given careful consideration. Responses to the arguments of the applicant are presented after the first rejection to which they are directed. Rejections of the prior art not repeated below are withdrawn based upon the amendments to the claims and the corresponding arguments.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1,2,5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Oba et al. JP 60-083236.

See example using comparative example dye 2 (page 7, upper left), which is an indolenic trimethine dye which differs from dye of chemical formula 20 (page 7) of the instant specification by only its counterion, which is perchlorate. The use of quenchers, including metal chelates is disclosed. (abstract)

Art Unit: 1756

The coverage sought by the applicant for the medium is irrespective of the intended use which the shorter wavelengths. The absorption of dyes is broad and the examiner notes that similar compound 20 is used in example 2 with 450 nm lasers in the instant specification, the examiner therefore holds this to support the inherency in the absorption properties of the dye, i.e. that it can be used for recording at least one wavelength of less than 450 nm.

(note that Ootaguro et al. '882 teaches . 4-N,N-diethylamino-4'-nitrosodiphenylamine, the nitroso light resistance improver used in example 2, is disclosed as having a maximum absorption at 440 nm) .

In response to the declaration, the examiner points out that the same or similar dyes as used by the applicant in their examples in the instant specification are used in the references applied. These do not have absorption in the far red (780 nm), but have absorption maxima in the 500-600 nm range. The declaration does not address the novelty or unobviousness of the use of these dyes in optical recording media. As long as the dye absorbs the laser light, it is sensitive enough to record information. The media as claimed do not distinguish over the prior art as the dye controls the sensitivity of the recording medium. The examiner agrees that the use of shorter wavelengths leads to increased data density due to the wavelength dependency of the diffraction focusing limit, but the claims to the media only require the media, not the laser as well. The use of the laser is considered intended use, but the examiner notes that the dyes of the references applied are similar to those exemplified in the specification and used with the 405 nm laser.

5. Claims 1,4,5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Okamoto et al. JP 09-277703 (machine translation attached).

Art Unit: 1756

See example 8 in table 1, which uses the same compound as disclosed as chemical formula 35, used in example 2 of the instant specification with 450 nm light, to form an optical recording medium of the form used in example 1 [0043]. See also the other examples which use dyes 34,36 and 37 of the instant specification in optical recording media of the same form as used in example 1. The use of light improving agents is disclosed. [0026].

6. Claims 1-3,5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully anticipated by Shinkai et al. '656.

See example 3 (72/49), which use dye B-3 (33/45), which is similar to dye of chemical formula 26, which is used in example 2 of the instant specification, but has a different counterion (perchlorate). Note also the other examples in this reference, which use trimethine cyanine dyes. The use of light stabilizers is disclosed (38/34-60).

7. Claims 1, 2, 5-9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nanba et al. JP 60-204396.

Nanba et al. JP 60-204396 teaches the absorption of dyes D32 (perchlorate) and D36 (bromide) in the table on page 29. The absorption maxima of dye D36 is 880 nm and the reflection maxima is 970 nm. The wavelength used in recording is 830 nm (page29, left column) for the examples disclosed in table 1 on page 30. **Example 3 uses dye D36, which has absorption maxima at wavelengths greater than 830 nm together with dye D32 and metal chelate Q3-12. The chelate is present in an amount of 4 parts to five parts of D36.** The use of dyes which have an absorption maxima within the range 40 nm shorter and 70 nm longer than the writing wavelength is disclosed in the abstract. The use of lasers including HeNe (632.8 nm), Argon ion (488, 514.5 nm), HeCd (442 and 325 nm) is disclosed on page 28 in the lower

Art Unit: 1756

left hand column. Useful counterions include perchlorate, tetrafluoroborate, aryl sulfonic acids (page 8, right hand column). Linkages disclosed include trimethine and monomethine linkages LVIII and LIX on page 8 of the reference.

For the embodiments within the scope of coverage sought where the dye is a cyanine dyes as set forth in claims 2, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use other disclosed cyanine dyes, such as those using the trimethine and monomethine linkages LVIII or LIX alone or with counterions from the table on pages 9-14 or page 8 and to use these with appropriate disclosed lasers, such as the HeCd, which have emissions at wavelengths up to 70 nm shorter than the maximum absorption of the dyes with a reasonable expectation of successfully writing data into the recording layer based upon the disclosure of using dyes which have absorption maxima up to 70 nm longer than the emission wavelength of the laser.

The applicant has argued that the use of laser wavelengths which are below the absorption maxima of the dyes is unanticipated or unappreciated in the prior art. This is incorrect as this reference clearly discloses the use of laser wavelengths up to 40 nm less than the absorption maxima of the dye. The examiner recognizes that the absorption profiles of the dyes films have longer tails on the long wavelength side, than on the short wavelength side and it may be more conventional to use longer wavelength laser due to their cost and availability. The move toward shorter wavelengths is clearly motivated by the increased density of information due to the smaller spot size the laser can be focused down to. The reference also teach the use of 442 and 325 nm HeCd laser which meet the newly added limitations of the claims. The arguments do not address the use of trimethine cyanine dyes.

Art Unit: 1756

8 Claims 1-5 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **any one of** Nanba et al. JP 60-204396, Oba et al. JP 60-083236, Okamoto et al. JP 09-277703 **or** Shinkai et al. '656, in view of Ootaguro et al. '882 and Namba et al. '231.

Ootaguro et al. '882 teaches in examples 54 and 55, the coating of a solution of 3 parts cyanine dye NK 2421 (a heptamethine cyanine dyes with a perchlorate anion, see Maruyama et al. below) and 1 part 4-N,N-diethylamino-4'-nitrosodiphenylamine (the ethyl homologue of the compound used in example 1 of the instant specification) (0.33:1 ratio), which is coated on a glass substrate. 4-N,N-diethylamino-4'-nitrosodiphenylamine is disclosed as having a maximum absorption at 440 nm. (24/28). 4-N,N-dimethylamino-4'-nitrosodiphenylamine is also disclosed (6/24-37). These compounds are disclosed as not suffering from the low solubility of other stabilizers, such as metal complexes (2/6-43).

Namba et al. '231 teach the use of mixtures of dyes to cover the entire spectral range. The NK and IR dyes of table III are cyanine dyes with perchlorate counterions. The absorption maxima of the dyes is given in tables I,II and III and the wavelength of useful lasers is disclosed in the table in column 3. These include 325, 442, 488, 514.5 (515), 633 nm.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the compositions of **any one of** Nanba et al. JP 60-204396, Oba et al. JP 60-083236, Okamoto et al. JP 09-277703 **or** Shinkai et al. '656 by adding the light stabilizing 4-N,N-diethylamino-4'-nitrosodiphenylamine of Ootaguro et al. '882 rather than the metal chelate quenchers due to its increased solubility as with the added advantages that as it absorbs in the blue, it would confer additional sensitivity to the optical recording medium in that portion of the spectrum based upon the teachings of Namba

Art Unit: 1756

et al. '231, which teaches that extending the spectral range of optical recording media is desirable. There is no language describing what range is "around 405 nm" embraces.

What the applicant fails to appreciate is that the use of mixtures of dyes to extend the spectral response of the optical recording media is old and well known to be desirable as evidenced by Namba et al. '231 and that this dovetails nicely with the applicant's use of dye mixtures in the examples.

What might prove to be patentable is the use of optical recording media consisting of dyes, such as those disclosed by the applicant as chemical formulae 20-38, where other absorbers/dyes are not present. This would exclude the nitroso compound used as a quencher as well due to its absorption at 440 nm. (claims 1-5,7 and 14 would have to be cancelled). The limitation of the claims to these dyes combined examples using them alone and data relating to their absorption maxima may serve to obviate the rejections of record for the method claims, in particular if the absorption maxima are evidenced to be more than 40 nm longer than 450 nm. There are not examples using these dyes without other dyes and the dyes of compounds 1-19 would likely not work as they absorb solely at longer wavelengths.

9 Claims 1-5 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **any one of** Nanba et al. JP 60-204396, Oba et al. JP 60-083236, Okamoto et al. JP 09-277703 or Shinkai et al. '656, in view of Ootaguro et al. '882 and Namba et al. '231, further in view of Nee '811 combined with Hamer, "The Cyanine Dyes and Related Compounds", pp. 244-269,274-279 and 398-433 (1964), Huditch et al. '584, Saito et al. '089, JP 64-040388, JP 03-009884, JP 10-119434 or JP 03-032884.

Art Unit: 1756

Hamer, "The Cyanine Dyes and Related Compounds", pp. 244-269, 274-279 and 398-433 (1964) teaches the heptamethine dyes disclosed on pages 244-269 (straight chain) and 274-279 (cyclic containing chain) for claims 15 and 16. These are the same class of dyes shown in formulae 1-20 of the instant specification. See the styryl dyes on pages 398-433 which include those disclosed in formulae 25-33 in the instant specification. See dye VIII on page 252 (comparable to chemical formula 11 of the instant specification) and XIX and text on pages 267-268 (comparable to formula 19 of the instant specification) See also formula XV on page 277. See dye I on page 398, which is comparable to formula 25 of the instant specification. Perchlorate ions as counterions for cyanine dyes are described on page 253, 262, 263 and 267 and the use of toluenesulfonate as a counterion is disclosed on pages 278 and 279. Perchlorate ions as counterions for styryl dyes are described on page 413 and 415 and the use of methylsulfonate is disclosed on pages 412, 420 and 427.

Nee '811 teaches GaN lasers operating at about 400 nm used with optical recording media (12/25+)

Huditch et al. '584 in examples 1, 11 and 12 for their cyanine dyes.

Saito et al. '089 in twentieth and twenty first embodiments (cols 22-23). Useful counterions are disclosed in the abstract together with their benefits.

JP 64-040388 in examples 1 (perchlorate), 2 (tetrafluoroborate), 3 (perchlorate and arylsulfonate counterions) and other examples. Note the counter ions disclosed in the abstract. Please note the absorption data including their absorption maxima.

JP 03-009884 discloses dyes 4 and 5 on page 9 with triethylammonium cations.

Art Unit: 1756

JP 10-119434 discloses dyes HP9, HP8 (page 35) and HP2-5 (page 34). Useful counterions are disclosed in the abstract.

JP 03-032884 discloses dyes on page 6 with perchlorate counterions. See also examples 2 (page 8 upper right)

To address the various anions recited with respect to formula 2, in addition to the basis provided above, the examiner asserts that it is old and well known in the art that cyanine and styryl dyes are closely related as evidenced by Hamer, "The Cyanine Dyes and Related Compounds", pp. 244-269, 274-279 and 398-433 (1964) and the examiner holds that it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the combination of **any one of** Namba et al. JP 60-204396, Oba et al. JP 60-083236, Okamoto et al. JP 09-277703 **or** Shinkai et al. '656, in view of Ootaguro et al. '882 and Namba et al. '231 by using other counterions known to be useful with cyanine dyes such as those disclosed by Huditch et al. '584, Saito et al. '089, JP 64-040388, JP 03-009884, JP 10-119434 or JP 03-032884 with a reasonable expectation of forming a useful cyanine dyes and that the dye would be useful in optical recording and the use of optical recording media sensitized across the entire visible spectrum (400-900 nm) by Namba et al. '231 is considered to direct one to the use of GaN laser which operate at about 400 nm.

10 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wolleb '492 teaches the use of various lasers including GaN diode lasers which operate in the 390-430 nm region. (12/1-6)

11 **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


Art Unit: 1756

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Martin J. Angebrannndt whose telephone number is 571-272-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Martin J Angebrannndt
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1756

8/12/05